UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,449	09/09/2003	Mark A. Reiley	10002-701.407	5178	
Joe Basista	7590 09/27/2010 Joe Basista			EXAMINER	
Facet Solutions, Inc. 45 South Street			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D		
Hopkinton, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/27/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/658,449	REILEY, MARK A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Dec	<u>ecember 2009</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,5,7-18,20,22 and 24-27 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-27 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,5,7-18,20,22 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :12/18/09 9/9/03 11/3/04 11/4/04 3/8/05.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/18/09 has been entered.

Status of Claims

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-18, 20, 22, and 24-27 are pending.

Claims 25-27 are withdrawn.

Claims 2, 3, 6, 19, 21, and 23 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10-12, 14, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith USPN 5,092,893.

Smith discloses the invention substantially as claimed being a spinal prosthesis assembly comprising first and second prosthesis bodies 13 and 14, a fastening element 40, and removable left and right artificial facet joint structures 15, shown best in figures 1 and 2. Smith further discloses that the facet joint structures comprise a spacer 30 and convex surfaces to allow the joints to articulate. The examiner is interpreting the threaded connections of Smith to be mechanical frictional attachment means.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 13, 16, 20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith USPN 5,092,893.

Smith discloses the invention substantially as claimed being described supra. However, Smith does not disclose the materials used to make the implant or that the artificial facet joints are attached by adhesive, cement, or a Morse taper

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the connections of Smith with any of the known equivalents within the art including adhesive, cement, or Morse tapers in order to please the surgeon's preference or to accommodate different characteristics of the implant site. These connections are all well known options that would be interchangeable to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention. See Wall USPN 4,502,161, for example of a bone implant teaching that adhesives and mechanical fasteners are interchangeable.

In regards to claim 20 it would have been obvious to make the implant of Smith out of the listed materials. The implant materials are well known within the art. For example, see Zang 5,314,486 wherein the prosthesis is fabricated from selected biocompatible materials including titanium, cobalt chrome and may be fastened to the bone by with roughen surface providing a bone in-growth surface medium.

In regards to claim 22, it would have been obvious to include bone growth material within the implant of Smith in order to decrease the healing time. Implant with bone growth materials are well known within the art. For example, see Burton USPN 5,282,863.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE whose telephone number is (571)272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

/Christopher D Prone/

/Corrine M McDermott/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738